# Growth Retardation of Plants Transformed by Overexpression of NtFtsZ1-2 in Tobacco

Won Joong Jeong<sup>1,2</sup>, Suk Won Jeong<sup>1</sup>, Sung Ran Min<sup>1</sup>, Ook Joon Yoo<sup>2</sup>, and Jang Ryol Liu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plant Cell Biotechnology Laboratory, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIBB), Taejon 305-333, Korea <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Taejon 305-701, Korea

We transformed tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabacum* L, Xanthi) by introducing a sense construct of *NtFtsZ1-2*. This tobacco nuclear gene encodes a chloroplast-localized homologue of FtsZ, the bacterial cell-division protein. The overexpressing plants contained enlarged chloroplasts in their leaf mesophyll cells. In the T<sub>1</sub> progeny, we observed three different phenotypes: 1) plants with cells containing many small chloroplasts, which was the same as for wild-type plants; 2) plants in which the cells contained one to three enlarged chloroplasts (severe type); and 3) plants whose cells contained a combination of many small chloroplasts and one to three enlarged chloroplasts (intermediate type). The outward appearance of the severe and intermediate types of transgenic plants did not differ noticeably from the wild-types. However, the severe-type plants were most retarded in their growth under both high- and low-light conditions, followed by the intermediate-types. Under medium levels of light, the two types of transgenic plants exhibited growth rates comparable to that of the wild types. Based on the overall results, we suggest that many small chloroplasts, rather than a few large chloroplasts, are required for efficient use of light energy in the mesophyll cells.

Keywords: chloroplast division, chloroplast number and size, FtsZ overexpression, plant growth

Higher plants contain plastids, which are a family of intracellular organelles that include chloroplasts (for photosynthesis), amyloplasts (starch accumulation), and chromoplasts (pigment synthesis). Typical chloroplasts are discoid-shaped (3 to 10  $\mu$ m in diameter), and are most often limited to the leaf mesophyll and stomatal guard cells. In *Arabidopsis*, approximately 100 chloroplasts exist per mesophyll cell, occupying 70% of its area (Pyke and Leech, 1992). Plastid division is necessary to ensure that continuity is maintained in the cells, to accumulate photosynthetic tissues, and to produce the large number of chloroplasts required for maximum photosynthetic productivity.

Because plastids are believed to originate from the prokaryotic organism *Cyanobacterium*, they resemble such an organism in their division machinery. Prokaryotic cells divide, via a ring, through binary fission (Bramhill, 1997). Recent genetic approaches for understanding plastid division and development using *Arabidopsis* clearly indicate a close similarity with prokaryotic cells in their genetic control. <u>Accumulation and replication of chloroplast (arc) mutants has been reported in mesophyll cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana*</u>

that have a few large chloroplasts (Pyke and Leech, 1992; Pyke et al., 1994; Robertson et al., 1995, 1996). In Esherichia coli, more than nine proteins are involved in the binary fission process (Bramhill, 1997). The best known of these, FtsZ, is a structural homologue that very likely is the evolutionary precursor of the eukaryotic tubulins (Erickson, 1998; Faguy and Doolittle, 1998). Genes encoding FtsZ have been identified in nearly all prokaryotes and in diverse eukaryotes, including unicellular algae and other protists, moss, and vascular plants (Beech and Gilson, 2000). To date, most of the identified eukaryotic FtsZ genes have been related to cyanobacteria, and are presumed to be involved in chloroplast division. FtsZ homologues in higher plants have been identified in six species. The antisense and sense orientations of FtsZ cDNA-transformed A. thaliana and the knockout FtsZ mutants of Physcomitrella patens (via homologous recombination) have a few enlarged chloroplasts (Osteryoung et al., 1998; Strepp et al., 1998; Stokes et al., 2000). Arabidopsis FtsZ transgenic plants and arc6 mutants, with a few enlarged chloroplasts per cell, are indistinguishable from wild-type plants in their outward appearance and growth (Robertson et al., 1995; Osteryoung et al., 1998). Therefore, the question arises as to why the photosynthetic cells of higher

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author; fax +82-42-860-4608 e-mail jrliu@mail.kribb.re.kr

plants contain so many small chloroplasts.

Previously, we introduced a sense construct of NtFtsZ1-2 to produce transgenic tobacco plants that contained only one to three enlarged chloroplasts per leaf mesophyll cell. We were able to demonstrate that those enlarged chloroplasts could not move properly as the intensity of incidental light changed, thereby retarding plant growth (Jeong et al., 2002). In addition to this phenotype of only a few enlarged chloroplasts per cell, we found another type of plant among the  $T_1$  progeny - an intermediate type with a mixture of many small chloroplasts and one to three enlarged chloroplasts per leaf mesophyll cell. In this study, we attempted to determine why higher-plant photosynthetic cells contain so many small chloroplasts rather than a few enlarged ones. In doing so, we systematically compared the overall growth of two different types of transgenic plants versus wild-type plants, all growing under various light conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Transgenic Tobacco Plants**

We generated transgenic plants of tobacco (*N. tabacum* L. cv. Xanthi) that overexpressed *NtFtsZ1-2* (*FtsZ1-2* of *N. tabacum*) under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter (see Jeong et al., 2002). These plants, produced in a growth chamber, were self-pollinated, and their progeny were used for our growth comparisons.

## **Isolation and Observation of Protoplasts**

Fully expanded leaves were removed with a razor blade from the transgenic and wild-type plants, 30 d after germination. For the light-microscopic observations, we isolated the protoplasts by incubating leaf segments overnight in an enzyme digestion solution [2% Cellulase Onozuka R-10 (Yakult, Tokyo, Japan), 1% Macerase R-10 (Yakult, Tokyo, Japan), 0.6 M mannitol, and 5 mM MES at pH 5.8]. The protoplasts were purified by filtering, centrifugation, and washing, and were then observed under a light microscope. Images were captured by digital camera (Nikon COOLPIX-950, Japan) and Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA) software.

### **Measurement of Plant Growth**

In a preliminary analysis of the T<sub>1</sub> progeny, we used

a light microscope to select two distinct phenotypes -one with a few enlarged chloroplasts in the mesophyll cells (severe type); the other having a mixture of a few enlarged chloroplasts and many, typically wild-type, small chloroplasts (intermediate type). Afterward, three independent transgenic lines of the severe- and intermediate-type plants, as well as the wild-type plants, were grown in a greenhouse under natural fluctuations of sunlight for 10 weeks. Temperatures typically reached 32°C, and irradiance during the day ranged from 750 to 1500 µmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum daytime irradiance was defined arbitrarily as: 1) 100% relative growth irradiance (high light), 2) 20% (medium light), or 3) 5% (low light). These various levels were achieved by reducing the available irradiance with layers of shade cloth. The measured parameters included plant height, stem thickness, fresh weight and size of the youngest fully expanded leaf, soluble protein content, chlorophyll contents, and the chlorophyll a/b ratio. Chlorophyll contents were determined in 100% methanol, following the method of Porra et al. (1989). Soluble protein contents were measured using Bio-Rad protein assay reagents according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Mature transgenic plants that overexpressed NtFtsZ1-2 under the control of the CaMV35S promoter exhibited a normal phenotype. Their isolated protoplasts contained one to three enlarged chloroplasts, whereas those from the wild-type leaf tissue typically contained 80 to 200 smaller chloroplasts (Fig. 1). The enlarged chloroplasts usually were flat, filling a thin layer of cytoplasm surrounding the vacuole (Fig. 1). Despite the enlarged size, the chloroplast ultrastructure consistently appeared normal (leong et al., 2002). The morphology of the enlarged chloroplasts observed in this study matches that previously found with the Arabidopsis plastid-division mutant arc6 (Pyke et al., 1994; Robertson et al., 1995); transgenic Arabidopsis with the sense/antisense AtFtsZ transgene (Osteryoung et al., 1998; Stokes et al., 2000); and FtsZ knockout mutants from the moss P. patens (Strepp et al., 1998). NtFtsZ1-2 (FtsZ1-2 of N. tabacum) apparently is also associated with chloroplast division in tobacco, as is AtFtsZ in Arabidopsis (Osteryoung et al., 1998; Stokes et al., 2000); that overexpression of NtFtsZ1-2 inhibits chloroplast division.

The  $T_1$  plants that resulted from self-pollination were analyzed by light microscopy, and were divided into



**Figure 1.** Phenotypes of transgenic tobacco plants. **A.** Photodamage in leaves grown under high-light conditions: wild-type (left); severe-type (middle), and intermediate-type plants (right). **B-D.** diverse chloroplasts in mesophyll protoplasts from wild-type (**B**); severe-type (**C**); and intermediate-type plants (**D**). Bars represent 20 µm.

three groups: 1) plants with leaf mesophyll cells containing many small chloroplasts (same as for the wild types, Fig. 1B); 2) those with cells containing one to three enlarged chloroplasts (severe type, Fig. 1C); and 3) plants with cells containing a mixture of many small chloroplasts and one to three enlarged chloroplasts (intermediate type, Fig. 1D). These differences probably resulted from segregation of the transgene in the T<sub>1</sub> generation.

Although the severe-type phenomenon in our tobacco was comparable to that found in transgenic *Arabidopsis* overexpressing FtsZ (Stokes et al., 2000), the intermediate-type tobacco (i.e., a mixture of many small and one to three enlarged chloroplasts) differed from *Arabidopsis* intermediate-type plants (in which 15 to 30 enlarged chloroplasts were seen per cell). Transgenic plants with a combination of many small chloroplasts and a few enlarged ones have not been reported with *Arabidopsis*. Likewise, the mesophyll cells of intermediate-type transgenic *Arabidopsis* contained 10 to 30 uniformly enlarged chloroplasts. However, the intermediate-type tobacco plants seemed to be similar to the Arabidopsis arc10 mutant, with highly heterogeneous chloroplast sizes within a single cell (Rutherford, 1996). Both the intermediate-type transgenic tobacco and the Arabidopsis arc10 mutant plants may have resulted from a subpopulation of chloroplasts that did not divide, or by abnormal, asymmetric chloroplast division (Pyke, 1999).

When growth parameters were compared, the severe-type plants showed the most retarded development (plant height, stem thickness, leaf area, and leaf fresh weight) under both high- (saturation irradiance) and low-light conditions (limiting irradiance), followed by intermediate-type plants (Table 1, Fig. 2). However, under medium-light conditions, both types of transgenic plants had growth comparable to the wild types (Table 1, Fig. 2). Under high-light conditions, the leaf blades of severe-, intermediate-, and wild-type plants were pale green, pale-green-and-deep-green variegated, and deep green, respectively (Fig. 1A). The chlorophyll content of severe-type leaves grown

**Table 1.** Growth measurements of wild-type and transgenic tobacco plants. Three independent lines of wild-type plants, and severe- and intermediate-type transgenic plants were grown in a greenhouse under natural fluctuations of sunlight for 10 weeks. Typical temperatures reached 32°C; diurnal irradiance ranged from 750 to 1500  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum daytime irradiance was defined arbitrarily as: 1) 100% relative growth irradiance (high light), 2) 20% (medium light), and 3) 5% (low light).

		PH	ST	LA	FW	Chl	Chl a/b	Protein
HL	WT	69.37 ± 3.81	1.56 ± 0.09	499.13 ± 71.29	13.41 ± 1.91	$0.37 \pm 0.030$	3.70 ± 0.13	1.55 ± 0.10
	S	36.56 ± 6.65	$1.22 \pm 0.15$	341.22 ± 89.74	9.09 ± 2.54	$0.27 \pm 0.006$	4.22 ± 0.12	1.54 ± 0.16
	INT	$54.50 \pm 6.98$	$1.47 \pm 0.12$	$484.12 \pm 68.25$	13.01 ± 1.83	$0.35 \pm 0.029$	$3.77 \pm 0.07$	$1.52 \pm 0.12$
ML	wr	48.23 ± 5.01	$0.85 \pm 0.09$	200.75 ± 47.02	4.89 ± 1.14	$0.31 \pm 0.010$	$3.30 \pm 0.05$	$1.23 \pm 0.02$
	S	$40.24 \pm 3.23$	$0.80 \pm 0.06$	186.88 ± 33.72	$4.53 \pm 0.82$	$0.30 \pm 0.009$	$3.47 \pm 0.01$	$1.40 \pm 0.09$
	INT	$46.37 \pm 5.34$	$0.88 \pm 0.08$	$210.85 \pm 45.72$	5.14 ± 1.11	$0.32 \pm 0.008$	$3.45 \pm 0.03$	$1.46 \pm 0.01$
LL	WT	$29.20 \pm 5.05$	$0.47 \pm 0.09$	110.21 ± 17.73	$2.47 \pm 0.39$	$0.20 \pm 0.005$	2.91 ± 0.02	$0.48 \pm 0.04$
	S	8.80 ± 3.14	$0.28 \pm 0.06$	42.27 ± 14.22	$0.92 \pm 0.33$	$0.19 \pm 0.005$	$2.98 \pm 0.05$	$0.48 \pm 0.05$
	INT	19.33 ± 2.94	0.35 ± 0.03	65.48 ± 25.82	$1.47 \pm 0.58$	$0.19 \pm 0.009$	2.96 ± 0.17	$0.45 \pm 0.02$

PH, plant height (cm); ST, stem thickness (cm); LS, size of the youngest, fully expanded leaf (estimated by leaf area, cm<sup>2</sup>); FW, fresh weight (g/leaf); Chl a/b, chlorophyll a/b ratio; Chl, chlorophyll content (mmol m<sup>-2</sup>); Protein, the soluble protein content (g m<sup>-2</sup>); WT, wild-type plants; S, severe-type transgenic plants; INT, intermediate-type transgenic plants; HL, high light (700 to 1500  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); ML, medium light (200 to 400  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); LL, low light (30 to 150  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); Mean values ( $\pm$  SE) are shown for 8 to 15 plants.



Figure 2. Typical wild-type (WT), severe- (S), and intermediate-type (INT) tobacco plants grown for 10 weeks under high- (A), medium- (B), and low-light conditions in a greenhouse.

under high-light conditions was reduced to 72.5% of the wild-type level (Table 1). Pale-green leaves, which had reduced chlorophyll contents and an increased chlorophyll a/b ratio (3.7 to 4.2) under high-light conditions, probably were a result of photodamage. Severetype and intermediate-type plants grown under either medium- or low-light conditions had similar leaf colorations, and their chlorophyll contents were almost the same as with the wild types (Table 1). It has been reported that Arabidopsis FtsZ transgenic plants and arc mutant plants with a few enlarged chloroplasts per cell are similar to wild-type plants in their outward appearance and growth (Robertson et al., 1995; Osteryoung et al., 1998). However, those particular plants have not yet been systematically compared with wild-type plants when grown under various light conditions.

In this study we observed that growth of the severetype transgenic tobacco plants was most retarded under

both high- and low-light conditions, followed by intermediate-type plants. However, under medium-light conditions, performance was similar to that of the wild-type plants. It is obvious that the total surface area/volume ratio of all the enlarged chloroplasts within a transgenic cell is less than the ratio for all the numerous, normal chloroplasts found in a wild-type cell. Because growth of plants with a few enlarged chloroplasts per cell was lower than that of the wildtype plants under low-light conditions, the total surface area/volume ratio did seem to affect photosynthetic efficiency. However, this ratio cannot explain why, under high light, growth was less for plants with a few enlarged chloroplasts than for the wild types. This retardation under saturation irradiance seems to have resulted from photodamage (Fig. 1A).

Zurzycki (1957) has suggested that a dual function for chloroplast rearrangement exists in plants, whereby they maximize their use of limited light, while minimiz-

ing the chance of photo-damaging the photosynthetic apparatus under excess light. Such a rearrangement has been observed in many species (Haupt and Scheuerlein, 1990). We previously demonstrated that the enlarged chloroplasts in severe-type transgenic mesophyll cells of tobacco could not move properly as the incident-light irradiance changed (leong et al., 2002). Intermediate-type transgenic tobacco may also have a similar problem in chloroplast movement, which might explain why the development of plants with a few enlarged chloroplasts per cell was retarded under both high- and low-light conditions. Presumably, if a mesophyll cell has a few enlarged chloroplasts, the capacity of those chloroplasts to resolve their rearrangement under varying levels of irradiance would be greatly compromised at the expense of photosynthetic efficiency. Therefore, we conclude that chloroplasts in higher plants have been evolved to divide into many small ones, rather than a few enlarged ones, to support efficient light-energy utilization in their mesophyll cells.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Y-I Park for valuable comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant (No. FGM0040012) from the Korea Institute of Science and Technology Evaluation and Planning; a grant (No. CGM0400111) from the Crop Functional Genomics Center of the 21st Century Frontier Research Program funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Republic of Korea; and a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the Plant Metabolism Research Center, Kyung Hee University, to JRL.

Received April 4, 2002; accepted May 23, 2002.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Beech PL, Gilson PR (2000) FtsZ and organelle division in protists. Protist 151: 11-16
- Bramhill D (1997) Bacterial cell division. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 13: 395-424
- Erickson HP (1998) Atomic structures of tubulin and FtsZ.

Trends Cell Biol 8: 133-137

- Faguy DM, Doolittle WF (1998) Cytoskeletal proteins: The evolution of cell division. Curr Biol 8: R338-341
- Haupt W, Scheuerlein R (1990) Chloroplast movement. Plant Cell Environ 13: 596-614
- Jeong WJ, Park YI, Suh KH, Raven JA, Yoo OJ, Liu JR (2002) A large population of small chloroplasts in tobacco leaf cells allows more effective chloroplast movement than a few enlarged chloroplasts. Plant Physiol 129: 112-121
- Osteryoung KŴ, Stokes KD, Rutherford SM, Percival AL, Lee WY (1998) Chloroplast division in higher plants requires members of two functionally divergent gene families with homology to bacterial *FtsZ*. Plant Cell 10: 1991-2004
- Porra RJ, Thompson WA, Kriedemann PE (1989) Determination of accurate extinction coefficients and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted with different solvents: Verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Biochim Biophys Acta 975: 384-394
- Pyke KA (1999) Plastid division and development. Plant Cell 11: 549-556
- Pyke KA, Leech RM (1992) Chloroplast division and expansion is radically altered by nuclear mutations in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiol **99**: 1005-1008
- Pyke KA, Rutherford SM, Robertson EJ, Leech RM (1994) arc6, fertile Arabidopsis mutant with only two mesophyll cell chloroplasts. Plant Physiol 106: 1169-1177
- Robertson EJ, Pyke KA, Leech RM (1995) *arc6*, an extreme chloroplast division mutant of *Arabidopsis* also alters proplastid proliferation and morphology in shoot and root apices. J Cell Sci 108: 2937-2944
- Robertson EJ, Rutherford SM, Leech RM (1996) Characterization of chloroplast division using the Arabidopsis mutant arc5. Plant Physiol 112: 149-159
- Rutherford S (1996) The genetic and physical analysis of mutants in chloroplast number and size in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Ph.D. dissertation. University of York, UK
- Stokes KD, McAndrew RS, Figueroa R, Vitha S, Osteryoung KW (2000) Chloroplast division and morphology are differentially affected by overexpression of *FtsZ1* and *FtsZ2* genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol 124: 1668-1677
- Strepp R, Scholz S, Kruse S, Speth V, Reski R (1998) Plant nuclear gene knockout reveals a role in plastid division for the homolog of the bacterial cell division protein FtsZ-an ancestral tubulin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 4368-4373
- Zurzycki J (1957) The destructive effect of light on the photosynthetic apparatus. Acta Societas Botanica Polanorum 26: 157-175